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## Summary

1,10-Phenanthroline (phen) reacts with Grignard reagents RMgBr or $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{Mg}$ to yield organomagnesium radical complexes [phen(MgR)] ${ }^{\circ}$. The spin distribution derived from well-resolved ESR spectra permits a distinction to be made between the two possible singly occupied molecular orbitals $a_{2}$ and $b_{1}$.

The classical complex ligands 2,2'-bipyridine (bipy) and 1,10-phenanthroline (phen) can function as negative molecular ions, thus stabilizing metals in formally low oxidation states [1]. While the anion radical of bipy has been extensively studied in the form of its various ion pairs [2], the ESR characterization of the phen negative ion has not been well documented [3]; an assignment based on the correlation to the isoconjugated phenanthrenc radical anion [4] led to unsatisfactory results [5]. This is the more surprising since a standard Hückel MO calculation predicts a crossing of the two lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals [6] of phen within the normal range of the Coulomb integral parameter $h_{N}$ for the two nitrogen centers (Fig. 1). This dichotomy is also reflected in more rigorous calculations of $\pi$ electron spin densities for the phen anion radical [7]. Other molecular orbital treatments of the 1,10-phenanthroline molecule have given the $a_{2}$ orbital as the LUMO [8].

Our current interest [9] in the electron transfer ability [10] and the coordination chemistry of Grignard reagents led us to study the reaction of $1,10-$ phenanthroline [11] with various organomagnesium compounds in solvating ethers such as THF or DME. These reactions result in paramagnetic red solutions which display well resolved ESR spectra upon dilution. Different organomagnesium reagents RMgBr or $\mathrm{R}_{2} \mathrm{Mg}\left(\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}, \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5}, \mathrm{i}-\mathrm{C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7}, \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right.$ ) lead to


Fig. 1. Hückel MO energies $\epsilon_{\mathcal{J}}(\beta)$ of the two lowest unoccupied molecular orbitals of 1,10 -phenanthroline (solid lines) and of the LUMO of 2.2 'bipyridine (broken line), depending on the Coulomb integral parameter $h_{\mathbf{N}}$.


Fig. 2. (A) ESR spectrum of the radical complex iormed in the reaction of 1,10 -phenanthroline with diphenylmagnesium in THF at 300 K . (B) Computer assisted spectrum synthesis with the data from Table 1 and a line width of 0.014 mT .

(I)

TABLE 1
ESR COUPLING CONSTANTS $a_{X}$ (mT) OF ORGANOMAGNESIUM RADICAL COMPLEXES FROM 1,10-PHENANTHROLINE (phen) AND 2,2'-BIPYRIDINE (bipy) TOGETHER WITH THE PARAMETERS OF THE ISOCONJUGATED RADICAL ANIONS OF PHENANTHRENE AND BIPHENYL (numbering scheme according to Fig. 1)

|  | Phenanthrene ${ }^{-}$ [4] | [Phen(MgPh ) ${ }^{-a}$ | $\begin{aligned} & {[\text { bipy }(\mathrm{MgPh})]^{-a}} \\ & {[9]} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Biphenyl }{ }^{*} \\ & \text { [12] } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $a_{1}$ | 0.070 | $0.290{ }^{\text {b }}$ | $0.293{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 0.273 |
| $a_{2}$ | 0.288 | 0.021 | 0.031 | 0.043 |
| $a_{3}$ | 0.030 | 0.290 | 0.387 | 0.546 |
| $a_{4}$ | 0.356 | 0.326 | 0.194 | 0.043 |
| $\mathrm{a}_{5}$ | 0.428 | 0.064 | 0.067 | 0.273 |
| Singly occupied MO assignment | $a_{2}$ | $b_{1}$ | $b_{1}$ | $b_{14}$ |

${ }^{a}{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ assignments according to HMO spin populations; metal isotope coupling ( ${ }^{25} \mathrm{Mg}: 10 \%$. $I=5 / 2$ ) could not be detected because of low natural abundance, cf however ref. [9]. b ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$ coupling constant.
only marginally different ESR spectra. Figure 2 shows the spectrum of the phenyl derivative together with its computer simulation.

When comparison is made with data for other pertinent radicals, as shown in Table 1, the ESR data for the phen complex I indicate that its spin distribution is similar to that of the corresponding bipy radical complex, but completely different from that of the isoconjugated radical anion of phenanthrene. This is most conclusively demonstrated by the large $a_{1}\left({ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}\right)$ splitting constant of the organomagnesium radical complexes, contrasting with a small value $a_{1}\left({ }^{1} \mathrm{H}\right)$ in the phenanthrene anion radical. The fact that the complexation of the phen radical anion with a simple organomagnesium fragment results in a spin distribution according to molecular orbital $b_{1}$, as in the bipy anion radical, makes it clear why phen and bipy ligands can often be interchanged in complexes with only minor changes of the properties of the complexes.
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